THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.26081 of 2005

Dated 06-12-2005

Between:

Ms.Bandlamudi Sririsha and others.

.. **PETITIONERS**

AND

Acharya Nagarjuna University,

rep. by its Registrar,

Nagarjuna Nagar,

Guntur.

.. **RESPONDENT**

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

The petitioners were admitted to first year M.B.A. course in Christu Jayanthi Jubilee P.G. College, Vidyanagar, Guntur. The college submitted the list of admitted candidates to the respondent herein for approval. The respondent pointed out that the admission of the petitioners cannot be approved on account of the fact that they did not undergo the education under the pattern of 10+2+3. Thereafter, the college-management addressed a letter dated 06-11-2005 pointing out that the candidates with 10+3 or 10+1+3 pattern have been admitted on previous occasions and that the petitioners can be extended the same benefit. This writ petition is filed seeking a direction to the respondent to regularize the admission of the petitioners in the M.B.A. course.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent.

It is not in dispute that the eligibility for being admitted in the first year of M.B.A. course is a pass in degree examination in the pattern of 10+2+3. The petitioners passed their respective examinations through correspondence courses and they did not study the intermediate course. It is true that the petitioners have appeared in the common entrance examination. However, the purpose of entrance examination is only to select the candidates in the event of seats being not available in adequate number. The appearance in the entrance examination does not confer the qualification on the candidate, if he is, otherwise, not entitled to. The fact that the entrance examination was held even before the results of qualifying examinations are declared, supports this point of view. At any rate, the petitioners were not selected by the convener, and they were admitted by the Management against the minority quota.

It is not known as to whether any candidates, who do not hold the requisite

qualification, were admitted or such admissions were approved. Even assuming that there exist such instances, they hardly constitute the basis for regularization of admissions of otherwise unqualified candidates. The college-management ought to have been careful in apprising the petitioners, of the rule position. This court does not grant any relief to the petitioners.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

06-12-2005

Prv